Reheating and Primordial Gravitational Waves in Generalized Galilean Genesis

Sakine Nishi[1,*] and Tsutomu Kobayashi[1,†]

[1]*Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, Toshima, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan*

Galilean genesis is an alternative to inflation, in which the universe starts expanding from Minkowski with the stable violation of the null energy condition. In this paper, we discuss how the early universe is reheated through the gravitational particle production at the transition from the genesis phase to the subsequent phase where the kinetic energy of the scalar field is dominant. We then study the consequences of gravitational reheating after Galilean genesis on the spectrum of primordial gravitational waves. The resultant spectrum is strongly blue, and at high frequencies $\Omega_{\rm gw} \propto f^3$ in terms of the energy density per unit logarithmic frequency. Though this cannot be detected in existing detectors, the amplitude can be as large as $\Omega_{\rm gw} \sim 10^{-12}$ at $f \sim 100\,{\rm MHz}$, providing a future test of the genesis scenario. The analysis is performed within the framework of generalized Galilean genesis based on the Horndeski theory, which enables us to derive generic formulas.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 04.50.Kd

arXiv:1601.06561v2 [hep-th] 7 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflation [1, 2] is now the standard model of the early universe, solving the problems that Big Bang cosmology faces and explaining the origin of large scale structure in the way consistent with observations. However, the inflationary universe still suffers from the problem of initial singularity [3] as long as the null energy condition (NEC) is satisfied. To circumvent the singularity, alternative scenarios such as the bouncing models [4–7] have been proposed so far, but a majority of the alternative models are unstable due to the violation of the NEC. Recently, an interesting class of scalar field theories called the Galileon has been constructed [8] and various aspects of the Galileon theory have been explored extensively in the literature. One of the most intriguing nature of this theory is that the null energy condition can be violated stably[1]. Thus, the Galileon opens up a new possibility of stable, singularity-free models alternative to inflation [10–15], as well as stable NEC violating models of dark energy [16] and inflation [17].

In this paper, we consider an initial phase of NEC violating quasi-Minkowski expansion driven by the Galileon field as an alternative to inflation. The scenario is called the Galilean genesis [18], and different versions of genesis models can be found in Refs. [19–22]. The purpose of the present paper is to address how the genesis phase is connected to the subsequent hot universe through the reheating stage. In the case of inflation, reheating usually proceeds with coherent oscillation of the scalar field at the minimum of the potential and its decay. However, the scalar field that drives Galilean genesis does not have the potential. The situation here is similar to that in kinetically driven inflation models such as k- [23] and G-inflation [17]. We therefore consider reheating through gravitational particle production [24]. Reheating after Galilean genesis has also been discussed in Ref. [25]. See also Refs. [26–29] for the other aspects of genesis models.

The exit from k- and G-inflation is accompanied by the kination phase, *i.e.,* the phase where the kinetic energy of the scalar field is dominant. Similarly, the kination phase can also be incorporated at the end of Galilean genesis as we will do in this paper. The primordial gravitational waves that re-enter the horizon during this kination era have a blue spectrum, giving enhanced amplitudes at high frequencies [30]. In addition to this, the primordial spectrum of gravitational waves is expected to be blue due to NEC violating quasi-Minkowski expansion in the earliest stage of the universe. The combined effect will therefore give rise to strongly blue gravitational waves. In the second part of this paper we evaluate the spectrum of gravitational waves generated from Galilean genesis and explore the possibility of testing this alternative scenario *e.g.,* with the advanced LIGO detector.

In the previous paper [31], we have developed a general framework unifying the original genesis model [18] and its extensions [19–22], using which we have derived the stability conditions and primordial power spectra of scalar and tensor perturbations. The framework is based on the Horndeski theory [32–34], the most general second-order scalar-tensor theory in four dimensions. We use this general framework also in this paper.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we review the Galilean genesis solution and its generalization. In Sec. III, we investigate the creation of massless scalar particles after Galilean genesis and compute the reheating temperature. Using the result of Sec. III, we then evaluate the power spectrum of gravitational waves in Sec. IV. We present some concrete examples and discuss the detectability of the primordial gravitational waves in Sec. V. Finally, we conclude in Sec. VI.

*Email: sakine"at"rikkyo.ac.jp
†Email: tsutomu"at"rikkyo.ac.jp

[1] See Ref. [9] for a subtle point beyond linear perturbations.

II. GENERALIZED GENESIS SOLUTIONS

Galilean genesis was originally proposed by Creminelli *et al.* [18], and several versions of genesis models have been considered so far [19–22]. In the Galilean genesis scenario, the universe starts expanding from Minkowski spacetime with a stable violation of the null energy condition. In the previous work [31], we have constructed a unified description of those genesis models based on the Horndeski theory and named the scenario *generalized Galilean genesis*. The Lagrangian of the Horndeski theory is given by [32–34]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= G_2(\phi,X) - G_3(\phi,X)\Box\phi + G_4(\phi,X)R \\ &\quad + G_{4,X}\left[(\Box\phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)^2\right] + G_5(\phi,X)G^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}\left[(\Box\phi)^3 - 3\Box\phi(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)^2 + 2(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)^3\right],\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $X := -(1/2)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$. This Lagrangian gives the most general second-order field equations for the metric and the scalar field. Generalized galilean genesis is obtained by taking the four functions in the Horndeski Lagrangian (1) as

$$\begin{aligned}G_2 &= e^{2(\alpha+1)\lambda\phi}g_2(Y), \quad G_3 = e^{2\alpha\lambda\phi}g_3(Y), \\ G_4 &= \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} + e^{2\alpha\lambda\phi}g_4(Y), \quad G_5 = e^{-2\lambda\phi}g_5(Y),\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where α (>0) and λ are constant parameters, $M_{\rm Pl}$ is the Planck mass, and each g_i ($i=2,3,4,5$) is an arbitrary function of

$$Y := e^{-2\lambda\phi}X. \tag{3}$$

The above Lagrangian admits the generalized genesis solution for the scalar field and the cosmic scale factor $a(t)$,

$$e^{\lambda\phi} \simeq \frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{2Y_0}}\frac{1}{(-t)}, \tag{4}$$

$$H \simeq \frac{h_0}{(-t)^{2\alpha+1}}, \tag{5}$$

$$a \simeq a_G\left[1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}\frac{h_0}{(-t)^{2\alpha}}\right], \tag{6}$$

where Y_0 and h_0 are constants determined in the way explained below and $H := {\rm d}\ln a/{\rm d}t$ is the Hubble parameter. The proper time coordinate t lies in the range $(-\infty,0)$, and the scale factor approaches to a constant value a_G as $t\to-\infty$. Thus, this solution describes a universe that starts expanding from Minkowski in the asymptotic past. The parameter α controls the expansion rate. It has been shown in [31] that the generalized genesis solution is an attractor for a wide range of initial conditions. Note that one may take $a_G = 1$, though we do not do so in this paper because we would rather normalize the scale factor to be unity at the present epoch.

Note also that the above solution is the approximate one valid for

$$\frac{h_0}{(-t)^{2\alpha}} \ll 1. \tag{7}$$

The background evolution begins to deviate from the above solution when $h_0/(-t)^{2\alpha}\sim 1$, and one need to invoke a numerical calculation for a later epoch. To make the calculations tractable analytically, in this paper we impose the technical assumption that the genesis phase terminates before Eq. (7) is violated. Denoting the Hubble parameter at the end of genesis by H_*, the condition (7) can be written as

$$H_* \ll h_0^{-1/2\alpha}, \tag{8}$$

or, equivalently,

$$H_*(-t_*) \ll 1, \tag{9}$$

where t_* is the proper time at the end of the genesis phase. This inequality defines the range of validity of our computation.

The constants Y_0 and h_0 are consistently determined from the Friedmann and evolution equations,

$$\hat{\rho}(Y_0) \simeq 0, \tag{10}$$

$$-2\mathcal{G}(Y_0)\dot{H} \simeq e^{2(\alpha+1)\lambda\phi}\hat{p}(Y_0), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\hat{\rho}(Y) := 2Yg_2' - g_2 - 4\lambda Y\left(\alpha g_3 - Yg_3'\right), \tag{12}$$

$$\hat{p}(Y) := g_2 - 4\alpha\lambda Y g_3 + 8(2\alpha+1)\lambda^2 Y(\alpha g_4 - Yg_4'), \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(Y) := M_{\rm Pl}^2 - 4\lambda Y\left(g_5 + Yg_5'\right). \tag{14}$$

The stability condition for tensor perturbations requires $\mathcal{G}(Y_0)>0$, so that the NEC violating background can be obtained if $\hat{p}(Y_0)<0$. One sees from (11) that

$$h_0 = -\frac{1}{2(2\alpha+1)(2\lambda^2Y_0)^{\alpha+1}}\frac{\hat{p}(Y_0)}{\mathcal{G}(Y_0)}. \tag{15}$$

Since h_0 has the dimension of $[\text{mass}]^{-2\alpha}$, it is convenient to introduce the dimensionless quantity $\widetilde{h}_0$ defined as

$$\widetilde{h}_0 := M_{\rm Pl}^{2\alpha}h_0. \tag{16}$$

Let us summarize here the stability conditions derived in Ref. [31]. For the stability of tensor perturbations, we have to impose

$$\mathcal{G}(Y_0) > 0, \quad M_{\rm Pl}^2 + 4\lambda Y_0 g_5(Y_0) > 0. \tag{17}$$

Scalar perturbations are stable if

$$\hat{\rho}'(Y_0) > 0, \quad \xi'(Y_0) < 0, \tag{18}$$

where ξ is defined as

$$\xi(Y) := -\frac{Y\mathcal{G}(Y)}{\hat{p}(Y)}. \tag{19}$$

The universe must eventually be connected to a radiation dominated phase. In standard inflationary cosmology, the inflaton field oscillates about the minimum of the potential and reheat the universe. The radiation dominated universe follows after this reheating stage. However, the Lagrangian for generalized Galilean genesis does not have the potential term and hence conventional reheating would not be suitable for the genesis scenario. This is also the case for k-inflation [23]. In this paper, we therefore explore the possibility of gravitational reheating after the genesis phase, *i.e.*, reheating via gravitational particle production at the transition to the intermediate phase where the kinetic energy of the scalar field is dominant (the kination phase). See [25] for preheating after Galilean genesis via a direct coupling between the scalar field and the other fields. Note in passing that we have another possibility that the genesis phase is followed by inflation [26–28]. The inflationary stage is then naturally described by k- or G-inflation [17], so that also in this case we employ gravitational reheating. In this paper, we do not consider this latter possibility and focus on the scenario in which the genesis phase is followed by the intermediate kination phase and then by the radiation dominated phase.

III. GRAVITATIONAL PARTICLE PRODUCTION AFTER GENERALIZED GALILEAN GENESIS

As argued at the end of the previous section, we consider gravitational particle production after the genesis phase. To realize the background evolution suitable to this reheating mechanism, we assume that the Lagrangian of the form (2) is only valid until ϕ (which is increasing during the genesis phase) reaches some value, ϕ_*, and for $\phi > \phi_*$ the Lagrangian is such that the kinetic energy of ϕ decreases quickly to make only the standard kinetic term X relevant in the Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L} \simeq (M_{\rm Pl}^2/2)R + X$. The genesis phase thus terminates and is followed by the kination phase where $3M_{\rm Pl}^2H^2 = \rho_\phi \propto a^{-6}$.[2] The basic scenario here is essentially the same as those at the end of k- and G-inflation [17, 23] described in some detail in [35]. We do not provide a concrete model realizing this because it is reasonable to presume that this is indeed possible by using the functional degrees of freedom in the Horndeski theory,[3] and also because particle creation is only sensitive to the evolution of the scale factor but not to an underlying concrete model of generalized Galilean genesis. Note that in many cases it is likely that perturbations become unstable at the transition between the two phases. Even if this occurs we can solve the issue by using the idea in Ref. [28], though one must go beyond the Horndeski theory to do so.

Let us consider the creation of massless, minimally coupled scalar particles at the transition from the genesis phase to kination. The created particle is denoted by χ, whose the Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\chi = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\chi\partial_\nu\chi. \tag{20}$$

Its Fourier component, χ_k, obeys

$$\frac{1}{a}\left(a\chi_k\right)'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)\chi_k = 0, \tag{21}$$

where a dash stands for differentiation with respect to the conformal time η. Since $a \simeq a_G = $ const in the genesis phase, we have $\eta \simeq t/a_G$ and hence $\eta = -\infty$ corresponds to the asymptotic past. We write the solution using the Bogoliubov coefficients as

$$a\chi_k = \frac{\alpha_k(\eta)}{\sqrt{2k}}e^{-ik\eta} + \frac{\beta_k(\eta)}{\sqrt{2k}}e^{ik\eta}, \tag{22}$$

and impose the boundary conditions that $\alpha_k \to 1$ and $\beta_k \to 0$ as $\eta \to -\infty$. For $k^2 \gg |a''/a|$, we have [36–39]

$$\beta_k(\eta) = -\frac{i}{2k}\int_{-\infty}^{\eta} e^{-2iks}\frac{a''}{a}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{23}$$

The energy density of the created particles can be computed as

$$\rho_\chi = \frac{1}{2\pi^2a^4}\int_0^\infty k^3\left|\beta_k(\infty)\right|^2\mathrm{d}k, \tag{24}$$

and this can be recasted to

$$\rho_\chi = -\frac{1}{128\pi^2a^4}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\eta_1\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\eta_2\ln(m|\eta_1-\eta_2|) \times V'(\eta_1)V'(\eta_2), \tag{25}$$

where

$$V(\eta) = \frac{f''f - (f')^2/2}{f^2}, \tag{26}$$

with $f(\eta) := a^2(\eta)$ and we inserted some arbitrary mass scale m in ln for a dimensional reason, though ρ_χ will be dependent only logarithmically on m.

[2] The necessary requirement here is that the energy density of ϕ dilutes more rapidly than that of radiation. We assume the kination phase just for simplicity.

[3] We assume the particular form of the Lagrangian (2) only during the genesis phase. At the very end of genesis, the Lagrangian may be away from the form (2).

In the genesis phase, we have

$$f(\eta) \simeq a_G^2 \left[1 + \frac{h_0}{\alpha} \frac{1}{(-a_G\eta)^{2\alpha}}\right] \quad (\eta < \eta_*), \tag{27}$$

with $\eta_* := t_*/a_G$, while in the subsequent kination phase $a \propto (\eta + \text{const})^{1/2}$ and hence f is of the form

$$f(\eta) = c_0 \frac{\eta}{-\eta_*} + c_1, \tag{28}$$

where c_0 and c_1 are to be determined by requiring that f and f' are continuous at $\eta = \eta_*$. Under this "sudden transition" approximation, f'' is discontinuous and consequently V is also discontinuous at $\eta = \eta_*$. Due to this discontinuity, the integral (25) diverges, which is unphysical. To avoid divergence, we insert a short stage having a time scale $\Delta\eta$ in between the genesis and kination phases, and join the two phases smoothly. The idea here is also employed in connecting inflation to the radiation/kination phase smoothly [24, 35].

Thus, we make the ansatz

$$f(\eta) = \begin{cases} b_0 + b_1\eta + b_2\eta^2 + b_3\eta^3 & (\eta_* < \eta < \eta_* + \Delta\eta) \\ c_0\eta/(-\eta_*) + c_1 & (\eta_* + \Delta\eta < \eta) \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

after the end of genesis, and determine the six coefficients by requiring that f, f', and f'' are continuous at $\eta = \eta_*$ and $\eta = \eta_* + \Delta\eta$. This allows us to obtain continuous V and hence finite ρ_χ. For the current purpose we do not have to write b_0, b_1, b_2, and b_3 explicitly, but we only need

$$c_0 = \frac{2a_G^2 h_0}{(-t_*)^{2\alpha}} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Delta\eta}{-\eta_*}\right)\right], \tag{30}$$

$$c_1 = a_G^2 \left\{1 + \frac{(2\alpha+1)h_0}{\alpha(-t_*)^{2\alpha}} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Delta\eta}{-\eta_*}\right)\right]\right\}. \tag{31}$$

Now we have

$$V(\eta) \simeq 2a_G^2(2\alpha+1)h_0\,(-a_G\eta)^{-2(\alpha+1)} \quad (\eta < \eta_*), \tag{32}$$

and $|V| \ll V(\eta_*)$ for $\eta > \eta_* + \Delta\eta$. To evaluate the integral (25), it is sufficient to approximate V as a straight line for $\eta_* < \eta < \eta_* + \Delta\eta$. Thus,

$$V \simeq a_G^2 \frac{2(2\alpha+1)h_0}{(-t_*)^{2(\alpha+1)}\Delta\eta}(-\eta + \eta_* + \Delta\eta) \quad (\eta_* < \eta < \eta_* + \Delta\eta). \tag{33}$$

The main contribution to the integral (25) comes from the region $\eta_* < \eta < \eta_* + \Delta\eta$ where V' gets much larger than in the genesis and kination phases. Performing the integral in this domain, we obtain

$$\rho_\chi = \frac{(2\alpha+1)^2}{32\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{a_G H_* \Delta\eta}\right) \frac{h_0^2}{(-t_*)^{4(\alpha+1)}} \left(\frac{a_G}{a}\right)^4. \tag{34}$$

A logarithmic divergence is now manifest in the sudden transition limit, $\Delta\eta \to 0$. In the case of the smooth transition, however, we may take $\ln(1/a_G H_* \Delta\eta) = \mathcal{O}(1)$, leading to a finite energy density of relativistic particles. To make Eq. (34) more suggestive, we rewrite the equation in different ways by using $-t_* = h_0^{1/(2\alpha+1)} H_*^{-1/(2\alpha+1)}$ and Eq. (16). First, we have

$$\rho_\chi = \frac{A}{32\pi^2} \tilde{h}_0^{-\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{H_*}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{-\frac{4\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} H_*^4 \left(\frac{a_G}{a}\right)^4, \tag{35}$$

where

$$A := (2\alpha+1)^2 \ln\left(\frac{1}{a_G H_* \Delta\eta}\right) \tag{36}$$

is a number of $\mathcal{O}(1)$. This result is in contrast to that in the inflationary scenario, where the energy density of the created particles is simply given by $\rho_\chi \sim H_{\rm inf}^4/a^4$, with $H_{\rm inf}$ being the inflationary energy scale. We may also write ρ_χ as

$$\rho_\chi = \frac{A}{128\pi^2\alpha^2} \frac{H_*^4}{\delta_*^2} \left(\frac{a_G}{a}\right)^4, \tag{37}$$

where δ_* is defined as

$$\delta_* := \frac{a(\eta_*)}{a_G} - 1 \ (\ll 1). \tag{38}$$

This second expression shows that actual ρ_χ is much larger than the naive estimate deduced from the case of inflation, $\rho_\chi \sim H_*^4 (a_G/a)^4$.

The reheating temperature T_R is determined from $\rho_\chi = \rho_\phi$, where ρ_ϕ is the energy density of the scalar field after the end of genesis,

$$\rho_\phi = 3M_{\rm Pl}^2 H_*^2 \left(\frac{a_G}{a}\right)^6. \tag{39}$$

The scale factor at the time when $\rho_\chi = \rho_\phi$ occurs, a_R, is given by

$$\frac{a_R}{a_G} = \sqrt{\frac{96\pi^2}{A}} \left(\frac{H_*}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \tilde{h}_0^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}}. \tag{40}$$

Since $a_R > a_G$, we have the condition

$$\frac{H_*}{M_{\rm Pl}} < \left(\frac{96\pi^2}{A}\right)^{(2\alpha+1)/2} \tilde{h}_0. \tag{41}$$

Equating the radiation energy density at $a = a_R$ to $(\pi^2 g_*/30) T_R^4$, where g_* is the effective number of relativistic species of particles, we obtain

$$\frac{T_R}{M_{\rm Pl}} = \left(\frac{30}{\pi^2 g_*}\right)^{1/4} \frac{A^{3/4}}{\sqrt{3}(32\pi^2)^{3/4}} \tilde{h}_0^{-\frac{3}{2(2\alpha+1)}} \left(\frac{H_*}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2\alpha+1}}. \tag{42}$$

This result is again in contrast to that in the inflationary scenario, where $T_R \sim H_{\rm inf}^2/M_{\rm Pl}$. One can also write T_R as

$$T_R = \left(\frac{30}{\pi^2 g_*}\right)^{1/4} \frac{A^{3/4}}{\sqrt{3}(32\pi^2)^{3/4}(2\alpha)^{3/2}} \frac{H_*^2}{M_{\rm Pl}\delta_*^{3/2}}. \tag{43}$$

From this one can clearly see that the reheating temperature is much higher than the naive estimate deduced from inflation, $T_R \sim H_*^2/M_{\rm Pl}$. In other words, for a fixed reheating temperature, the Hubble parameter at the end of genesis is smaller than the corresponding inflationary value.

IV. THE SPECTRUM OF PRIMORDIAL GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

Having obtained the background evolution in the previous section, let us discuss the spectrum of primordial gravitational waves from generalized Galilean genesis. As expected from the null energy condition violating nature of the scenario, generated gravitational waves exhibit a blue spectrum and hence are relevant only at high frequencies.

The quadratic action for the gravitational waves in generalized Galilean genesis is [31]

$$S_h^{(2)} = \frac{1}{8}\int {\rm d}t{\rm d}^3x\, a^3 \mathcal{G}(Y_0)\left[\dot{h}_{ij}^2 - \frac{c_t^2}{a^2}(\partial_k h_{ij})^2\right], \quad (44)$$

where $c_t^2 := [M_{\rm Pl}^2 + 4\lambda Y_0 g_5(Y_0)]/\mathcal{G}(Y_0)$. Note that the coefficients $\mathcal{G}(Y_0)$ and c_t^2 are constant during the genesis phase. The equation of motion for each Fourier mode of two polarization states, h_k^λ ($\lambda = +, \times$), reads

$$\frac{1}{a}(ah_k)'' + \left(c_t^2 k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)h_k = 0, \quad (45)$$

where

$$\frac{a''}{a} \simeq \frac{h_0}{(-a_G\eta)^{2\alpha}}\cdot\frac{2\alpha+1}{\eta^2} \quad (46)$$

and λ is omitted here and hereafter. From Eq. (46) it can be seen that the gravitational waves freeze not at $|c_t k\eta| \sim 1$ but at later times, $|c_t k\eta| \sim \sqrt{h_0/(-a_G\eta)^{2\alpha}} \ll 1$. The WKB solution for the subhorizon modes is given by

$$h_k = \frac{1}{a}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\mathcal{G}c_t k}}e^{-ic_t k\eta}. \quad (47)$$

Since $a \simeq a_G$, the power spectrum is already constant at early times,

$$\frac{k^3}{\pi^2}|h_k|^2 = \frac{2k^2}{\pi^2\mathcal{G}c_t a_G^2}. \quad (48)$$

After each mode exits the "horizon" and ceases to oscillate, this amplitude is retained, as illustrated by the numerical example in Fig. 1. Thus, the power spectrum of the primordial gravitational waves evaluated at the end of the genesis phase is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_h^{(p)}(k) = \frac{2k^2}{\pi^2\mathcal{G}c_t a_G^2}. \quad (49)$$



FIG. 1: The numerical evolution of gravitational waves during generalized Galilean genesis with $\alpha = 1$. η_c denotes the horizon-crossing time.

In contrast to the gravitational waves from inflation, the primordial spectrum is blue, and hence gravitational waves could be relevant observationally only at high frequencies.

The density parameter for the gravitational waves per log frequency interval, $\Omega_{\rm gw}$, evaluated at the present epoch is given by

$$\Omega_{\rm gw} = \frac{k^2}{12H_0^2}\mathcal{P}_h(k), \quad (50)$$

where H_0 is the present value of the Hubble parameter and the power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_h$ characterizes the present amplitude of the gravitational waves. Since $h_k =$ const on superhorizon scales and $h_k \propto a^{-1}$ after horizon re-entry, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_h(k) = \mathcal{P}_h^{(p)}(k)\left(\frac{a_k}{a_0}\right)^2, \quad (51)$$

where a_k is the scale factor at horizon re-entry and a_0 (= 1) is the scale factor at present.

Suppose that a mode with wavenumber k re-enters the horizon at the epoch characterized by the equation-of-state parameter w. Since $k = a_k H_k$, where the Hubble parameter at horizon re-entry obeys $H_k \propto a_k^{-3(1+w)/2}$, we find

$$a_k \propto k^{-2/(1+3w)}. \quad (52)$$

In our scinario we have the kination phase ($w = 1$) after the end of genesis and subsequently the conventional radiation and matter dominated phases. Thus, we obtain

$$\Omega_{\rm gw} = \Omega_{\rm gw}^{(p)}(k) \times \begin{cases} \dfrac{k_R}{k}\dfrac{k_{\rm eq}^2}{k_R^2}\dfrac{k_0^4}{k_{\rm eq}^4} & (k_R < k < k_*) \\ \dfrac{k_{\rm eq}^2}{k^2}\dfrac{k_0^4}{k_{\rm eq}^4} & (k_{\rm eq} < k < k_R) \\ \dfrac{k_0^4}{k^4} & (k_0 < k < k_{\rm eq}), \end{cases} \quad (53)$$



FIG. 2: Schematic shape of the power spectrum of gravitational waves in generalized Galilean genesis.

where we write

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}^{(p)}(k)=\frac{k^2}{12H_0^2}\mathcal{P}_h^{(p)}=\frac{k^4}{6\pi^2H_0^2\mathcal{G}c_ta_G^2}. \tag{54}$$

Here, the wavenumbers k_*, k_R, $k_{\rm eq}$, and k_0 correspond to the modes that re-enter the horizon at the end of genesis, at the reheating time, at the epoch of matter-radiation equality, and at the present epoch. Explicitly, we have

$$k_0=a_0H_0=2.235\times10^{-4}\left(\frac{h}{0.67}\right)\ {\rm Mpc}^{-1}, \tag{55}$$

$$k_{\rm eq}=a_{\rm eq}H_{\rm eq}=1.028\times10^{-2}\left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm m}h^2}{0.141}\right)\ {\rm Mpc}^{-1}. \tag{56}$$

It is also useful to write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{k_*}{k_R}&=\left(\frac{a_R}{a_G}\right)^2\\&=3^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{32\pi^2}{A}\right)^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{2(2+\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\pi^2g_*}{30}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2(2+\alpha)}}\times\\&\quad\times\widetilde{h}_0^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{T_R}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{-\frac{2}{2+\alpha}},\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

where we used the fact that the Hubble parameter at the reheating time, H_R, follows from $H_R=H_*(a_G/a_R)^3$. In terms of the frequency, k_R corresponds to

$$f_R\simeq0.026\left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{1/6}\left(\frac{T_R}{10^6\,{\rm GeV}}\right)\ {\rm Hz}. \tag{58}$$

Using (57) one can also write f_*. A schematic picture of $\Omega_{\rm gw}$ as a function of the frequency f is shown in Fig. 2. This should be compared with the standard prediction from inflation, where one has a flat spectrum for $f_{\rm eq}<f<f_R$ [40].

To see the possibility of detecting the gravitational waves from generalized Galilean genesis, let us evaluate $\Omega_{\rm gw}$ at $k=k_R$ and $k=k_*$. For simplicity, we assume that $\mathcal{G}=M_{\rm Pl}^2$ and $c_t=1$. This is exact for $g_5=0$ models, and extending the following estimate to the $g_5\neq0$ case is straightforward. Then, it can be seen from Eq. (53) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_R)&=\frac{1}{6\pi^2M_{\rm Pl}^2H_0^2a_G^2}\frac{k_R^2k_0^4}{k_{eq}^2}\\&\simeq10^{-5}\times\left(\frac{H_*}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^2\left(\frac{a_R}{a_G}\right)^{-4},\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_*)&=\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_R)\times\frac{k_*^3}{k_R^3}\\&\simeq10^{-5}\times\left(\frac{H_*}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^2\left(\frac{a_R}{a_G}\right)^{2},\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where we used $k_0=H_0$. The ratio a_R/a_G (>1) itself depends on H_* and model parameters through Eq. (40). At this stage it is instructive to compare those results with the flat spectrum in the inflationary scenario,

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}^{\rm inf}\simeq10^{-5}\times\left(\frac{H_{\rm inf}}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^2. \tag{61}$$

For fixed T_R, it follows from Eq. (43) that $H_*<H_{\rm inf}$. This shows that $\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_R)$ in generalized Galilean genesis is smaller than the inflationary prediction for fixed T_R. However, this does not hold true for $\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_*)$.

Now, by the use of Eqs. (40) and (42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_R)&=10^{-5}\cdot3^{-\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{32\pi^2}{A}\right)^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{2(2+\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\pi^2g_*}{30}\right)^{\frac{3+2\alpha}{2(2+\alpha)}}\\&\quad\times\widetilde{h}_0^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{T_R}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{\frac{2(3+2\alpha)}{2+\alpha}},\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_*)&=10^{-5}\cdot3^{\frac{2+3\alpha}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{32\pi^2}{A}\right)^{\frac{2(1+2\alpha)}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{\pi^2g_*}{30}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha}}\\&\quad\times\widetilde{h}_0^{\frac{4}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{T_R}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{\frac{4\alpha}{2+\alpha}}.\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

In the frequency range $f_R<f<f_*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\rm gw}(f)&=10^{-31}\cdot3^{-\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{32\pi^2}{A}\right)^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{2(2+\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\pi^2g_*}{30}\right)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2(2+\alpha)}}\\&\quad\times\widetilde{h}_0^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{T_R}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha}}\left(\frac{f}{100\,{\rm Hz}}\right)^3.\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

One could enhance $\Omega_{\rm gw}$ by taking large $\widetilde{h}_0$, but too large $\widetilde{h}_0$ would violate Eq. (8), indicating the breaking of the approximation $a\simeq a_G[1+h_0/2\alpha(-t)^{2\alpha}]$. Using Eqs. (8) and (42) we have, for $f_R<f<f_*$,

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(f)\lesssim10^{-30}A^{-1/4}\left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{1/4}\left(\frac{f}{100\,{\rm Hz}}\right)^3. \tag{65}$$

Note that the right hand side is independent of α and the reheating temperature. To generate a higher amplitude of the gravitational waves, one would relax the limitation imposed by Eq. (8).

In the above argument we have assumed $c_t = 1$ for simplicity, but it is worth noting that we can enhance the amplitude of the gravitational waves by assuming that $c_t < 1$.

V. EXAMPLES

To be more specific, let us move to the discussion of concrete examples in the this section.

A. The original model of Galilean genesis

First, we apply the above results to the original model of Galilean genesis [18], which corresponds to the $\alpha = 1$ case. The original model is given by choosing the arbitrary functions and parameters in (2) as

$$g_2 = -2\mu^2 Y + \frac{2\mu^3}{\Lambda^3} Y^2, \quad g_3 = \frac{2\mu^3}{\Lambda^3} Y, \\ g_4 = g_5 = 0, \quad \lambda = 1, \quad \alpha = 1, \tag{66}$$

where μ and Λ are the parameters having the dimension of mass. Note that ϕ is taken to be dimensionless and so $Y = [\text{mass}]^2$. It is easy to verify that the model satisfies the stability conditions for scalar and tensor perturbations. From Eqs. (10) and (15) we find

$$Y_0 = \frac{\Lambda^3}{3\mu}, \quad h_0 = \frac{\mu^3}{2M_{\rm Pl}^2 \Lambda^3}. \tag{67}$$

Assuming that $A = \mathcal{O}(1)$, the reheating temperature can be written as

$$T_R \simeq 10^{-3} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{-1/4} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)^{3/2} H_*. \tag{68}$$

The condition that the analytic approximation for the genesis background solution is valid, Eq. (8), translates to

$$\frac{\mu}{\Lambda} < 10^2 \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\text{GeV}}\right)^{-1/3} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{-1/12}, \tag{69}$$

while $a_R/a_G > 1$ yields

$$\frac{\mu}{\Lambda} > 10^{-7} \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\text{GeV}}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{1/6}. \tag{70}$$

The density parameters at $k = k_R$ and $k = k_*$ are given respectively by

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_R) \simeq 10^{-31} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{5/6} \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right) \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,\text{GeV}}\right)^{10/3}, \tag{71}$$



FIG. 3: The density parameters $\Omega_{\rm gw}(f)$ for different reheating temperatures in the original model of Galilean genesis. The two plots correspond to $T_R \sim 10^{10}$ GeV ($f_R = 100$ Hz) with $\mu/\Lambda = 10^2$ and $T_R \sim 10^{16}$ GeV ($f_R = 10^8$ Hz) with $\mu/\Lambda = 1$. Here μ/Λ is taken to be the largest value allowed by Eq. (69). The anticipated sensitivity of the advanced LIGO is also marked.

and

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_*) \simeq 10^{-10} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right)^4 \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,\text{GeV}}\right)^{4/3}, \tag{72}$$

where the corresponding frequency is

$$f_* = 10^9 \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right) \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,\text{GeV}}\right)^{1/3} \text{Hz}. \tag{73}$$

In the frequency range $f_R < f < f_*$ we have

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(f) \simeq 10^{-32} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right) \\ \times \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,\text{GeV}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{f}{100\,\text{Hz}}\right)^3. \tag{74}$$

Figure 3 shows the examples of the power spectra compared with the anticipated sensitivity of the advanced LIGO. We consider two different reheating temperatures. One is $T_R \sim 10^{10}$ GeV and it follows from Eq. (58) that the corresponding frequency is given by $f_R = 100$ Hz. In this case, the amplitude is too small to be detected by the advanced LIGO. The other is as high as $T_R \sim 10^{16}$ GeV, giving $f_R = 100$ MHz. Also in this case it is unlikely to be able to detect the primordial gravitational waves at $f = 100$ Hz. In both cases we have $\Omega_{\rm gw} \sim 10^{-12}$ at $f = 100$ MHz, as can be seen directly from Eq. (65). The gravitational reheating stage after Galilean genesis could therefore be probed by the gravitational waves at very high frequencies.

B. A model generating the scale invariant curvature perturbation

As a second example, let us consider the case of $\alpha = 2$. This class of models is of particular interest because it gives rise to a scale-invariant spectrum of the curvature perturbation [19, 31]. The Lagrangian is given in a similar form to the previous example by

$$g_2 = -2\mu^2 Y + \frac{2\mu^3}{\Lambda^3} Y^2, \quad g_3 = \frac{\mu^3}{\Lambda^3} Y, \\ g_4 = g_5 = 0, \quad \lambda = 1, \tag{75}$$

but now $\alpha = 2$. It follows from Eqs. (10) and (15) that

$$Y_0 = \frac{\Lambda^3}{\mu}, \quad h_0 = \frac{\mu^4}{10 M_{\rm Pl}^2 \Lambda^6}. \tag{76}$$

The reheating temperature is obtained as

$$T_R \simeq 10^{-2} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{-1/4} \frac{\Lambda^{9/5} H_*^{4/5}}{M_{\rm Pl}^{2/5} \mu^{6/5}}. \tag{77}$$

Equation (8) in this case reduces to

$$\frac{M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2}{\Lambda^3} < 10^6 \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}{\rm GeV}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{-1/4}, \tag{78}$$

while $a_R/a_G > 1$ gives

$$\frac{M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2}{\Lambda^3} > 10^{-11} \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}{\rm GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{1/4}. \tag{79}$$

The density parameters at $k = k_R$ and $k = k_*$ are computed respectively as

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_R) \simeq 10^{-32} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{7/8} \left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2}{\Lambda^3}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^{7/2}, \tag{80}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(k_*) \simeq 10^{-15} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2}{\Lambda^3}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^{2}, \tag{81}$$

with the corresponding frequency

$$f_* = 10^8 \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{1/24} \left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2}{\Lambda^3}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^{1/2} {\rm Hz}. \tag{82}$$

In the range $f_R < f < f_*$, we find

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(f) \simeq 10^{-33} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{3/8} \left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2}{\Lambda^3}\right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{f}{100\,{\rm Hz}}\right)^{3}. \tag{83}$$



FIG. 4: The density parameters $\Omega_{\rm gw}(f)$ for different reheating temperatures in the $\alpha = 2$ model, in comparison with the anticipated sensitivity of the advanced LIGO. The parameter $M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2/\Lambda^3$ is taken to be the possible largest values: $M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2/\Lambda^3 = 10^6$ for $T_R \sim 10^{10}$ GeV ($f_R = 100$ Hz) and $M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2/\Lambda^3 = 1$ for $T_R \sim 10^{16}$ GeV ($f_R = 10^8$ Hz).

The basic conclusions for the $\alpha = 2$ model is the same as those for $\alpha = 1$, as presented in Fig. 4. Though detectable gravitational waves are not expected in the sensitive bands of, say, the LIGO detector, $\Omega_{\rm gw}$ is enhanced at high frequencies up to the model independent value as shown in Eq. (65) and $\Omega_{\rm gw} \sim 10^{-12}$ at $f = 100$ MHz under the optimistic choice of the parameters.

Since the $\alpha = 2$ model can generate scale-invariant curvature perturbations, let us now consider consistency between the above optimistic estimate of $\Omega_{\rm gw}$ and the prediction for the curvature perturbations. The power spectrum of the curvature perturbation ζ evaluated at the end of Galilean genesis is given by [31]

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta|_{t=t_*} = \frac{\Gamma^2(-3/2)}{2\pi^3 c_s^3 \mathcal{A}} (-t_*)^{-6}, \tag{84}$$

where in the present model it is found that $c_s = \sqrt{3}$ and $\mathcal{A} = (100/9)(M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2/\Lambda^3)^2$. For simplicity we assume that the evolution of ζ in the post-genesis phase is negligible. We can write t_* in terms of T_R to obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta \simeq 10^{-11} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{3/8} \left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2}{\Lambda^3}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^{3/2}. \tag{85}$$

Using $\mathcal{P}_\zeta \sim 10^{-9}$, the parameters are fixed as

$$\frac{M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2}{\Lambda^3} \sim 10^4 \left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^{-3}. \tag{86}$$

This gives smaller values of $M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2/\Lambda^3$ than the most optimistic ones used in Fig. 4. For $T_R \sim 10^{10}$ GeV we have $\Omega_{\rm gw} \sim 10^{-13}$ at $f = 100$ MHz. If one would use the curvaton mechanism to produce the observed

spectrum of the curvature perturbation even in the case of $\alpha = 2$, one has $\mathcal{P}_\zeta < 10^{-9}$ but then $M_{\rm Pl}\mu^2/\Lambda^3 < 10^4 (T_R/100\,{\rm GeV})^{-3}$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied the reheating stage after the initial quasi-Minkowski expanding phase and its consequences on the primordial gravitational waves. The initial stage was described in a unified manner as *generalized Galilean genesis* [31] in terms of the Horndeski scalar-tensor theory. Since the scalar field does not have a potential in which it oscillates, we have considered reheating through the gravitational production of massless scalar particles at the transition from the genesis phase to the kination phase. To avoid an unphysical diverging result which would come from a sudden transition approximation, we have followed the previous works [24, 35] and considered a smooth matching of the two phases. We have computed in that way the energy density of created particles and the reheating temperature. In the case of gravitational reheating after inflation, it is known that the created energy density is given by $\sim H_{\rm inf}^4$, where $H_{\rm inf}$ is the inflationary Hubble parameter [24]. We have shown that the energy density of massless scalar particles created after Galilean genesis is not simply given by the naive replacement, $\sim H_*^4$, where H_* is the Hubble parameter at the end of the genesis phase, but rather by a more involved form which depends on the model parameters as well as H_*. In particular, it has been found that for the same reheating temperature the Hubble parameter at the end of genesis is smaller compared with the corresponding value in the inflation scenario.

We have then discussed the spectrum of the primordial gravitational waves from generalized Galilean genesis. The combined effects of the quasi-Minkowski expanding background and the kination phase give rise to the blue gravitational waves, $\Omega_{\rm gw} \propto f^3$, at high frequencies, while their amplitude is highly suppressed at low frequencies in contrast with the inflationary gravitational waves. Unfortunately, the expected amplitude is too small to be detected in the sensitive bands of the advanced LIGO detector. However, it is possible to have $\Omega_{\rm gw} \sim 10^{-12}$ at $f \sim 100\,{\rm MHz}$. Thus, the primordial gravitational waves having a spectrum $\Omega_{\rm gw} \propto f^3$ at $f \gtrsim 100\,{\rm MHz}$ and the lack thereof at low frequencies would offer an interesting test of Galilean genesis in future experiments.

Finally, let us comment that the equations of motion for a massless scalar field and gravitational waves are practically the same, though we have discussed the particle production of the former on subhorizon scales while the latter cross the horizon. This implies that the gravitons can also be generated on subhorizon scales at the transition between the two phases in the same way as the massless scalar field, and this concern must be taken care of in the gravitational reheating scenario.

Acknowledgments

We thank Kazunori Nakayama, Teruaki Suyama, Masahide Yamaguchi, Jun'ichi Yokoyama, and Shuichiro Yokoyama for helpful conversations. This work was supported in part by the JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists No. 15J04044 (S.N.) and the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 24740161 (T.K.).

[1] A. H. Guth, "The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems," Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347
[2] K. Sato, "First Order Phase Transition of a Vacuum and Expansion of the Universe," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **195**, 467 (1981).
[3] A. Borde and A. Vilenkin, "Eternal inflation and the initial singularity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3305 (1994) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.3305 [gr-qc/9312022].
[4] R. H. Brandenberger, "Alternatives to the inflationary paradigm of structure formation," Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. **01**, 67 (2011) doi:10.1142/S2010194511000109 [arXiv:0902.4731 [hep-th]].
[5] R. H. Brandenberger, "Cosmology of the Very Early Universe," AIP Conf. Proc. **1268**, 3 (2010) doi:10.1063/1.3483879 [arXiv:1003.1745 [hep-th]].
[6] R. H. Brandenberger, "Introduction to Early Universe Cosmology," PoS ICFI **2010**, 001 (2010) [arXiv:1103.2271 [astro-ph.CO]].
[7] J. de Haro and Y. F. Cai, "An Extended Matter Bounce Scenario: current status and challenges," Gen. Rel. Grav. **47**, no. 8, 95 (2015) doi:10.1007/s10714-015-1936-y [arXiv:1502.03230 [gr-qc]].
[8] A. Nicolis, R. Rattazzi and E. Trincherini, "The Galileon as a local modification of gravity," Phys. Rev. D **79**, 064036 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.064036 [arXiv:0811.2197 [hep-th]].
[9] I. Sawicki and A. Vikman, "Hidden Negative Energies in Strongly Accelerated Universes," Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 6, 067301 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.067301 [arXiv:1209.2961 [astro-ph.CO]].
[10] T. Qiu, J. Evslin, Y. F. Cai, M. Li and X. Zhang, "Bouncing Galileon Cosmologies," JCAP **1110**, 036 (2011) [arXiv:1108.0593 [hep-th]].
[11] D. A. Easson, I. Sawicki and A. Vikman, "G-Bounce," JCAP **1111**, 021 (2011) [arXiv:1109.1047 [hep-th]].
[12] Y. F. Cai, D. A. Easson and R. Brandenberger, "Towards a Nonsingular Bouncing Cosmology," JCAP **1208**, 020 (2012) [arXiv:1206.2382 [hep-th]].
[13] Y. -F. Cai, R. Brandenberger and P. Peter, "Anisotropy in a Nonsingular Bounce," Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 075019 (2013) [arXiv:1301.4703 [gr-qc]].
[14] M. Osipov and V. Rubakov, "Galileon bounce after ekpyrotic contraction," JCAP **1311**, 031 (2013)

[arXiv:1303.1221 [hep-th]].

[15] T. Qiu, X. Gao and E. N. Saridakis, "Towards anisotropy-free and nonsingular bounce cosmology with scale-invariant perturbations," Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 4, 043525 (2013) [arXiv:1303.2372 [astro-ph.CO]].

[16] C. Deffayet, O. Pujolas, I. Sawicki and A. Vikman, "Imperfect Dark Energy from Kinetic Gravity Braiding," JCAP **1010**, 026 (2010) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2010/10/026 [arXiv:1008.0048 [hep-th]].

[17] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, "G-inflation: Inflation driven by the Galileon field," Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 231302 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.231302 [arXiv:1008.0603 [hep-th]].

[18] P. Creminelli, A. Nicolis and E. Trincherini, "Galilean Genesis: An Alternative to inflation," JCAP **1011**, 021 (2010) [arXiv:1007.0027 [hep-th]].

[19] Z. G. Liu, J. Zhang and Y. S. Piao, "A Galileon Design of Slow Expansion," Phys. Rev. D **84**, 063508 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5713 [astro-ph.CO]].

[20] P. Creminelli, K. Hinterbichler, J. Khoury, A. Nicolis and E. Trincherini, "Subluminal Galilean Genesis," JHEP **1302**, 006 (2013) [arXiv:1209.3768 [hep-th]].

[21] K. Hinterbichler, A. Joyce, J. Khoury and G. E. J. Miller, "DBI Realizations of the Pseudo-Conformal Universe and Galilean Genesis Scenarios," JCAP **1212**, 030 (2012) [arXiv:1209.5742 [hep-th]].

[22] K. Hinterbichler, A. Joyce, J. Khoury and G. E. J. Miller, "DBI Genesis: An Improved Violation of the Null Energy Condition," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 241303 (2013) [arXiv:1212.3607 [hep-th]].

[23] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour and V. F. Mukhanov, "k-inflation," Phys. Lett. B **458**, 209 (1999) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00603-6 [hep-th/9904075].

[24] L. H. Ford, "Gravitational Particle Creation and Inflation," Phys. Rev. D **35**, 2955 (1987).

[25] L. Perreault Levasseur, R. Brandenberger and A. C. Davis, "Defrosting in an Emergent Galileon Cosmology," Phys. Rev. D **84**, 103512 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5649 [astro-ph.CO]].

[26] D. Pirtskhalava, L. Santoni, E. Trincherini and P. Uttayarat, "Inflation from Minkowski Space," JHEP **1412**, 151 (2014) [arXiv:1410.0882 [hep-th]].

[27] Z. G. Liu, H. Li and Y. S. Piao, "Preinflationary genesis with CMB B-mode polarization," Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 8, 083521 (2014) [arXiv:1405.1188 [astro-ph.CO]].

[28] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, "Galilean Creation of the Inflationary Universe," JCAP **1507**, no. 07, 017 (2015) [arXiv:1504.05710 [hep-th]].

[29] D. A. Easson, I. Sawicki and A. Vikman, "When Matter Matters," JCAP **1307**, 014 (2013) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/07/014 [arXiv:1304.3903 [hep-th], arXiv:1304.3903].

[30] H. Tashiro, T. Chiba and M. Sasaki, "Reheating after quintessential inflation and gravitational waves," Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 1761 (2004) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/21/7/004 [gr-qc/0307068].

[31] S. Nishi and T. Kobayashi, "Generalized Galilean Genesis," JCAP **1503**, no. 03, 057 (2015) [arXiv:1501.02553 [hep-th]].

[32] G. W. Horndeski, "Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space," Int. J. Theor. Phys. **10**, 363 (1974).

[33] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer and G. Zahariade, "From k-essence to generalised Galileons," Phys. Rev. D **84**, 064039 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.064039 [arXiv:1103.3260 [hep-th]].

[34] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, "Generalized G-inflation: Inflation with the most general second-order field equations," Prog. Theor. Phys. **126**, 511 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5723 [hep-th]].

"Defrosting in an Emergent Galileon Cosmology,"

[35] T. Kunimitsu and J. Yokoyama, "Higgs condensation as an unwanted curvaton," Phys. Rev. D **86**, 083541 (2012) [arXiv:1208.2316 [hep-ph]].

[36] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, "Quantum Fields in Curved Space," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.

[37] L. Parker, "Quantized fields and particle creation in expanding universes. 1.," Phys. Rev. **183**, 1057 (1969). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.183.1057

[38] Y. B. Zel'dovich and A. A. Starobinsky, "Particle production and vacuum polarization in an anisotropic gravitational field," Sov. Phys. JETP **34**, 1159 (1972) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **61**, 2161 (1971)].

[39] Ya. B. Zeldovich, A. A. Starobinsky, O skorosti rozhdeniya chastits v gravitatsionnykh polyakh, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Toer. Fiz. 26 (1977) 373 [On the rate of particle production in gravitational fields, JETP Lett. 26 (1977) 252].

[40] M. Maggiore, "Gravitational wave experiments and early universe cosmology," Phys. Rept. **331**, 283 (2000) [gr-qc/9909001].